Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/038,604	LEE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Holly Rickman	1773
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Holly Rickman</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Douglas Pearson, Reg. # 47,851</u> for Chad Wieland.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 12 April 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Appl  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No	icant's representative)	
If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1 and 15		·
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN See Continuation Sheet	NERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summer</li> </ul>	The examiner will provide a e record of the substance of	written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
HOLLY RICKMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER		
406.18-1		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Application	ant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Mr. Wieland was contacted on 4/6/04 to discuss the possibility of amending claims 1 and 15 to put the application in condition for allowance. Mr. Pearson called back on Arpil 12 on Mr. Wieland's behalf to authorize an examiner's amendment correcting minor informalies in claims 1 and 15 and adding the limitation "stronger than -500 Oe" to claims 1 and 15 to overcome the prior art.

HOLLY RICKMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER